

A **second higher-dimension** analog of Green's Theorem is called **Stokes's Theorem**, after the English mathematical physicist George Gabriel Stokes.

Stokes' Theorem gives the **relationship between** a **surface integral** over an oriented surface *S* **and a line integral** along a closed space curve *C* forming the boundary of *S*.

The positive direction along *C* is Sketch: counterclockwise relative to the normal vector N. Grasping the normal vector N with your right hand, your thumb will point in the direction of N, your fingers will point in the positive direction *C*.

Another way to look at it is if you **walk in the positive direction around** *C* with your **head** pointing in the **direction of N**, rather the unit normal **n**, then the surface will **always be on your left**.

#### **Stokes' Theorem**

Let S be an **oriented surface** with unit normal vector **N**, bounded by a piecewise smooth simple **closed curve** *C* with a **positive orientation**. If **F** is a vector field whose component functions have continuous first partial derivatives on an open region containing *S* and *C*, then

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \iint_S \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

LHS is the line integral of the boundary, while the RHS is the surface integral of the curl.

Also, we note that since

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} \, d\mathbf{s} \quad \text{and} \quad \iint_S \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \iint_S \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\mathbf{S}$$

Stokes' Theorem says that the line integral around the boundary curve of S of the **tangential component of F** is equal to the surface integral over S of the **normal component of the curl of F**.

The **positively oriented** boundary curve of the oriented surface S is often written as  $\partial S$ , so Stokes' Theorem can be expressed as

$$\iint_{S} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_{\partial S} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$$

Use Stokes' Theorem to evaluate  $\iint_{S}$  curl  $\mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$ .

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y, z) = xyz \,\mathbf{i} + x \,\mathbf{j} + e^{xy} \cos z \,\mathbf{k},$$

S is the hemisphere  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1, z \ge 0$ , oriented upward

Use Stokes' Theorem to evaluate  $\iint_{S}$  curl  $\mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$ .

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y, z) = yz^3 \,\mathbf{i} + \sin(xyz) \,\mathbf{j} + x^3 \,\mathbf{k},$$

S is the part of the paraboloid  $y = 1 - x^2 - z^2$  that lies to the right of the *xz*-plane, oriented toward the *xz*-plane Generally, if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are **oriented surfaces** with the **same oriented boundary** curve *C* and both satisfy the hypotheses of Stokes' Theorem, then

$$\iint_{S_1} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \iint_{S_2} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

Useful when **difficult to integrate** over one surface **but easy to integrate** over the other.

Use Stokes' Theorem to evaluate  $\iint_{S}$  curl  $\mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$ .

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y, z) = xy \,\mathbf{i} + e^z \,\mathbf{j} + xy^2 \,\mathbf{k},$$

S consists of the four sides of the pyramid with vertices (0,0,0), (1,0,0), (0,0,1), (1,0,1), and (0,1,0) that lie to the right of the *xz*-plane, oriented in the direction of the positive *y*-axis

# Stokes' Theorem (Book)

 $\int_C \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$  is a measure of the tendency of the fluid to move around *C* and is called the **circulation** of **v** around *C*.





(a)  $\int_C \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{r} > 0$ , positive circulation

(b)  $\int_C v \cdot dr < 0$ , negative circulation

We know that **F** is conservative if  $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0$  for every closed path *C*. Given *C*, suppose we can find an orientable surface *S* whose boundary is *C*.

Then Stokes' Theorem gives

$$\int_{C} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \iint_{S} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \iint_{S} \mathbf{0} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0$$

A curve that is not simple can be broken into a number of simple curves, and the integrals around these simple curves are all 0.

Adding these integrals, we obtain  $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0$  for any closed curve *C*.

Use Stokes' Theorem to evaluate  $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ .

Note: C is oriented counterclockwise as viewed from above.

 $\mathbf{F}(x, y, z) = z^2 \,\mathbf{i} + y^2 \,\mathbf{j} + xy \,\mathbf{k},$ 

C is the triangle with vertices (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), and (0, 0, 2)

Use Stokes' Theorem to evaluate  $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ .

Note: C is oriented counterclockwise as viewed from above.

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y, z) = 2z \,\mathbf{i} + 4x \,\mathbf{j} + 5y \,\mathbf{k},$$

*C* is the curve of intersection of the plane z = x + 4 and the cylinder  $x^2 + y^2 = 4$ 

Verify that Stokes' Theorem is true for the given vector field **F** and surface S.

 $\mathbf{F}(x, y, z) = 3y \,\mathbf{i} + 4z \,\mathbf{j} - 6x \,\mathbf{k},$ 

S is the part of the paraboloid  $z = 9 - x^2 - y^2$  that lies above the xy-plane, oriented upward